

Interview Summary

Application No.

08/903,395

Applicant(s)

Koslow et al

Examiner

C. Lam

Group Art Unit

1775

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) C. Lam

(3) _____

(2) Atty: Peter Van Winkle

(4) _____

Date of Interview May 4, 1999Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:Agreement ☒ was reached. ☐ was not reached.Claim(s) discussed: of record.

Identification of prior art discussed:

all of record.

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

cls 16-17 regard to having particulate iodinated resin & particles of thermoplastic binder that are fused to the surface of the 1st substrate web, as oppose to having the particulate iodinated resin & particles of thermoplastic binder contained within the body of the 1st substrate web (or absorbent material). The examiner takes the position that Korpman is obvious over claims 18-19 & 24-25. Claims 20-21 regard to having the particulate sodium bicarbonate and particles of thermoplastic binder fused to the surface of the first substrate web, as oppose bicarbonate and the thermoplastic resin are sprinkled onto the surface of the backing sheet or the facing layer. Nishizawa does not disclose having the particulate maganese oxide and particles of thermoplastic binder being fused to the surface of the first substrate web.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

Cathy Lam
C. LAM

PATENT EXAMINER
ART UNIT 1775